

REMARKS


In ¶ 5 of the Final Rejection, claims 3, 7-11, and 58-65 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. In response to this ground of rejection, claim 58 has been amended to clarify that the first line of weakness is at the third elevation and claim 63 has been similarly amended. The Applicants request that these claim amendments be entered in order to place this application in better condition for appeal.

In ¶ 7 of the Final Rejection, claims 3, 7-11, and 58-65 were again rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strand et al. (US 6,360,513) in view of Keller (US 3,255,869) or Deutschländer (US 4,147,583) or Kopp et al. (US 5,371,997). The Applicants traverse this ground of rejection for the same reasons set forth in the Amendment filed on January 5, 2006.

Upon receipt of an Advisory Action stating that the foregoing claim amendments have been entered, the Applicants intend to file a Notice of Appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

May 15, 2006
Date


Dennis M. Flaherty
Reg. No. 31,159
Ostrager Chong Flaherty &
Broitman P.C.
250 Park Avenue, Suite 825
New York, NY 10177-0899
Tel. No.: 212-681-0600